

Trainers struggle with assessing the skills of players correctly.

The ratings of trainers are very different from the actual results of the player skill tests.



What are the consequences of inaccurate, subjective estimations of skills on talent development?

Only 1 out of 9 coaches had an accurate rating regarding the KTK3 scores (overall mobility & coordination).



Only 2 out of 10 coaches had an accurate rating regarding the FST scores (dribbling skills & ball control).



0 out of 10 coaches had an accurate rating on LSPT scores (passing).



Trainers' ability of determining a top 5 vs individual rating of players.

Trainers are better at determining a top 5 of players, compared to judging players individually on their skills.

Also the individual rating strongly deviates from the actual test results.

- Player is in the top 5, but not in the right place.
- Player is not in the actual top 5.

dribble skills test		
	top 5 coach	actual top 5
1	Player F	Player C
2	Player A	Player Z
3	Player C	Player G
4	Player D	Player A
5	Player X	Player Y

	correct assessment coaches	
1	Dribble Test	49%
2	Coordination Test	46%
3	Game insight Test	42%
4	Passing Test	38%

How can objective data help trainers?



With the help of objective data, youth players will receive more specific feedback and better guidance in their individual progression.

Trainers can validate and (re)consider their subjective perception.